



McMaster Divinity College

Practice-Led Research Methods MS 5YR5

Hybrid DPT Course
Fall 2020

Dr. Lee Beach beachl@mcmaster.ca
Office # 237

Class Begins Online Sept. 14. We will meet as a class via webex on Tuesday Sept. 15 at 7:30 – 8:30 pm ET (details of this meeting will be sent out well ahead of time). The class will also meet via. Webex on Tuesday Oct. 6th 7:30 - 8:45 pm ET. We will meet on campus Oct. 20 (9:00 - 5:00) and Oct 21 (9:00 – 1:00).

Instructor Biography

Dr. Lee Beach is the Associate Professor of Christian Ministry, the Garbutt F. Smith Chair in Ministry Formation and the Director of Ministry Formation at McMaster Divinity College in Hamilton, Ontario. He teaches courses on the church in culture, pastoral ministry and Christian spirituality. Prior to coming to MDC Lee pastored for over 20 years with the Christian and Missionary Alliance in Canada serving churches in Peterborough, Cobourg and Scarborough, Ontario. He is currently involved in a new church initiative in Ancaster, Ontario where he lives with his family. He is the author of the book, *The Church in Exile: Living in Hope after Christendom* (IVP) and co-author (With Dr. Franklin Pyles) of the book *The Whole Gospel for the Whole World: Experiencing the Four-Fold Gospel Today* (Wipf and Stock).

Course Description

Practice Led Research (PLR) is at the heart of the Doctor of Practical Theology (DPT) degree, This course will introduce the practice led method by addressing the theoretical, conceptual and practical skills needed to engage in graduate level practice led research. The course will explore the theoretical foundations of PLR, examine a range of practice led research methodologies,

provide an orientation to foundational graduate level research skills and ultimately aid students in the initial development of their dissertation topic.

As a hybrid course we will be using a course shell provided by McMaster Universities “Avenue to Learn” as well as other online technology. Information about accessing and using these sites will be provided prior to the beginning of the course and as needed. If you have questions about the technological aspects of the course, or have any problems with the technology please contact the instructor. I will do my best to help and if I am not able to answer your questions I will work with our IT support team to get an answer for you as soon as possible.

Learning Goals:

Knowing:

1. Upon completion of this course students will be able to articulate a basic overview of the practice - led research method.
- 2.. Upon completion of this course students will have a basic understanding of a number of different practice focused research methods that can be used in practice – led research.
3. Upon completion of this course students will have an introductory understanding of how to develop a DPT dissertation proposal.

Being

1. Upon completion of this course students will have begun to develop their identity as researching practitioners.
2. Upon completion of this course students will gain a greater appreciation of the integration between their ministry practice, their academic research and their personal formation.

Doing

1. Upon completion of this course students will be able to identify data that they need for the dissertation project and some possible approaches they can use to get that data.
2. Upon completion of this course students will be able to analyze and assess their practice in order to determine the direction and nature of their research.

Working together as a learning community

A core value of our class is that it is a “learning community,” designed to be a place where each member of the community can grow through positive affirmation and constructive input. We should all come to the community as people in process, open to be deconstructed and formed through our mutual learning experience.

As a responsible member of our learning community you will be expected to commit yourself to the class throughout the semester that we are together. This means that in our class interactions you will endeavor to treat all members of our class with respect and dignity. Your presence is necessary for our community (that is our class) to function at an optimal level, therefore it is expected that each student will be “present” in the online aspect of the course and in attendance for the face to face aspect of the course Oct. 20-21. Specific expectations for online interaction can be found in the “assignments” and “course schedule” sections of this syllabus (below). Assignments should be handed in on time and in good order as an attachment to an email to the professor. Assignments should be sent in on or before the due date specified in this syllabus. All assignments handed in after the due date will be subject to a penalty of 2% for each day (including weekends) that they are late.

Instructor’s role and availability

As professor it is my responsibility to empower each class member as a learner by treating each student with respect and dignity. I come to position myself as a fellow learner in the community and to offer information, reflection, experience and questions for us to reflect on together. Further, it is my responsibility to give good evaluation and feedback to your thinking, both as you offer it to the class in our discussions and through written work so that your growth through this class can be maximized as a result of our collaboration.

I will do my best to answer any email enquiries within 24 hours during week days (Mon.-Fri). I do not generally answer emails Saturdays or Sunday during the day. If an email is sent later in the afternoon on a Friday it will probably not be answered until Sunday evening or Monday morning. I will do my very best to grade assignments within three weeks of submission.

I am not only available but also welcome and enjoy connections with each of you outside class time. If you would like to meet together in person or via zoom or webex please feel free to make an appointment with me for a time that works for both of us.

Required Reading

Articles on Practice – Led Research (see bibliography in the Appendix at the end of this syllabus for the specific list of resources)

Cameron, Helen, Bhatti, Deborah, Duce, Catherine, et. al. *Talking About God in Practice: Theological Action Research and Practical Theology*. London: SCM, 2010.

Graham, Elaine, Walton, Heather, Ward, Frances. *Theological Reflection: Methods*. London: SCM, 2005.

Assignments

1. Practice Led- Research Description Paper

Foundational to the Doctor of Practical Theology Degree is the discipline of practice led research (PLR). As a core course in the DPT this class will help students develop an initial understanding of this unique approach to research. Students will write an introductory understanding of practice led research that covers the philosophy behind and the unique features of this approach to research. The paper should offer a definition of PLR, demonstrate an acquaintance with some of the literature on the subject by noting how various sources contribute to the field, and provide an overview of some of the key features that are part of a practice led research project.

The paper will be due Oct. 16, 2020. This paper should be approximately 3000 words and will constitute 30% of the overall course grade.

2. Class Presentation: My Practice, My Research

Students will present to the class an overview of their research interest particularly as it pertains to their practice of ministry. The presentation should address the following questions; What is the practice you are researching? What are the problems you want to address regarding that practice? What are the key questions you are asking? What assumptions do you bring to this research? What approach do you currently anticipate taking in your research (i.e. how will you gather data and analyze that data)? What questions remain for you in terms of doing this research? The presentation should leave at least a few minutes at the end for Q+A from the class.

Class presentations will take place on Oct. 20th or 21st (A schedule will be drafted and distributed a week prior to the class meetings), they should be approx. 20 minutes in length (including the Q+A time) and will constitute 10% of the course grade. Grades will be based on clarity of the presentation, thoughtfulness and creativity.

3. Developing a Dissertation Proposal

Students will develop a dissertation proposal according to the MDC Dissertation Project Proposal Application Guidelines: <https://mcmasterdivinity.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/DPT-Dissertation-Project-Proposal-Application-rev.-15-11-2019.pdf>

(Please note that the material on p. 2 of the application does not need to be included in your paper) This is NOT to be considered your actual dissertation proposal, this assignment is

designed to introduce you to the process of proposal development and also to help you hone your topic, your approach to the topic and to identify key resources for your topic. The assignment will invite you to think closely about your ongoing research and will lay a foundation for how you can maximize future course work to develop areas that still need further consideration.

The paper will also form the basis for a class presentation as it will be posted in an online forum and the class will interact with the paper by posting responses, constructive critiques and questions. You will be expected to interact with the comments for one week after the paper is posted.

The paper should be 5-6000 words in length and will constitute 40% of the course grade. Papers are due on the date they are to be posted to the class discussion forum.

A presentation schedule (including due dates for each paper) will be determined during the second week of the semester. Depending on the size of the class papers will be presented to the whole class or to a smaller group of classmates. Paper presentations will begin the week of Nov. 16th.

4. Assessing Methodologies

Students will write a brief reflection paper on the various research methods presented in the course, through both the reading and lecture material. The paper is not intended to be exhaustive or to cover all the methods presented in the course but it should provide some reflection on methods that you think have potential for you to use in your ongoing research and/or methods that you do not think will be useful. Reflection on questions that still remain for you is also welcome. The paper is designed to invite some personal reflection on course content and provide an opportunity for personal reflection on what may be useful and what may not be useful as you move forward in the DPT program.

The paper should be approximately 1000 words, it is due Nov. 30th and will constitute 10% of the course grade.

5. Participation

As a doctoral level course it is expected that students will make engagement with peers a high priority in their learning. As an online/hybrid class this kind of commitment to participation is absolutely essential to the ethos and overall quality of the course. Thus, students will be graded on their participation based on the quality and frequency of their online participation. For each online discussion students are expected to offer one response to the discussion prompt provided by the instructor and at least two responses to classmates posts.

Class participation will constitute 10% of the course grade. Grades will be determined using the following rubric.

A-LEVEL PARTICIPATION (9-10 Points)

- The participant integrated evidence from the reading, lecture, or past experience in supporting their argument.
- The participant consistently posted insightful comments and questions that prompted on topic discussion.
- The participant consistently helped clarify or synthesize other class members' ideas. If disagreeing with other class members' ideas, the participant stated his or her disagreement or objections clearly, yet politely.

B-LEVEL PARTICIPATION (8 Points)

- The participant was notably lacking in one or two of the items listed for A-level participation.
- The participant consistently had to be prompted or coaxed to participate.
- The participant usually, but not always, expressed herself or himself clearly.

C-LEVEL PARTICIPATION (7 Points)

- The participant was consistently lacking in two or more of the items listed for A-level participation.
- The participant was extremely reluctant to participate, even when prompted.
- The participant rarely expressed himself or herself clearly.

D-LEVEL PARTICIPATION (6 Points)

- The participant frequently attempted (success is irrelevant) to draw the discussion off-topic, even if the participant's participation otherwise conforms to a higher level on the rubric

F-LEVEL PARTICIPATION (0-5 Points)

- The participant was rude or abusive to other course participants.
- The participant consistently failed or refused to participate at all, even when specifically prompted or questioned, even if the participant's participation otherwise conforms to a higher level on the rubric.

Course Outline and Expectations

Course Introduction: WebEx class meeting (online), Sept. 15th 7:30 pm ET

**details will be provided but please plan to attend this gathering via. the online platform.*

- Meeting content will include : Course overview, introductions, student questions
- Student Responsibilities: Please review the course syllabus before this meeting and come prepared to offer a brief (1-2 minute) introduction of yourself (occupation, professional background, personal/family situation, your hopes for the course), and bring any questions you have about the course.

Section One: Introducing Practice - Led Research

- Weeks of Sept. 14, 21, 28, Oct. 5, 12. Online Presentations on various introductory aspects of PLR will be provided including lecture material, other educational resources and online discussion. On Oct, 6 we will meet together for an online lecture and discussion at 7:30 – 8:45 pm ET.
- Student Responsibilities: Review the weekly online lectures and the other material provided. Also, begin engaging with the list of articles provided in the syllabus (and also found on the content menu of our class site on Avenue to Learn) and the course text *Talking About God in Practice*. During the week of Sept.28 participate in the on- line discussion forum. Please post your response to the discussion prompts provided by the instructor by Wednesday of this week (Sept.30th). Respond to at least two posts by your classmates no later than Sunday of this week (Oct. 4th). Join the class gathering online on Oct. 6 (7:30 pm ET, details to follow). Work on your paper on PLR and submit the paper to the instructor as an email attachment by Oct. 16, 2020.

Section Two: In Class Session (Oct. 20-21)

The in-class section of the course will include discussion of and additional material pertaining to the posted lectures on PLR as well as further new material on doing doctoral level research. We will have a unit focused on research ethics and how to prepare and submit an application to the McMaster research ethics board (MREB) in order to get clearance for human based research (as many of you will choose to use this approach as part of your methodology). We will hear from a panel of former and current DPT students who will share insights from their experience in the program.

Also, we will engage in class presentations (assignment #2). A schedule will be developed for these presentations one week before the start of our first in-class meeting.

Student Responsibilities: Come to class prepared to discuss your understanding of PLR, your thoughts and impressions of the articles and text books we have been reading thus far and the

content of the online lectures. Prepare your class presentation for the assignment on “My Practice, My Research” and be prepared to present your work to the class according to the presentation schedule that will be developed in the preceding week.

Section Three: Theological Reflection Methods in Practical Theology

- The week of Oct. 26 – 30 there will be no class content or assignments.
- The week of Nov. 2-6: On line lecture: Reviewing the book *Theological Reflection Methods*.
- Nov. 9 – 13: On-line discussion forum on the book *Theological Reflection Methods*.
- Student Responsibilities: Review the online material on the course website for this section of the course. Read the text book *Theological Reflection: Methods*. Engage in the online class discussion Nov. 9 -13. Post a response to the discussion prompt provided by the instructor by Wed. Nov 11th and respond to at least two posts from your classmates by Sunday Nov. 15th. Work on your final paper/dissertation proposal.

Section Four: Class Paper Presentations

Beginning the week of Nov. 16th students will present their final paper to the class (or a small group of the class, depending on class size). The presentation schedule will be determined the second week of class. One paper will be posted each week and the class/group will interact with it for the balance of the week.

- Student Responsibilities: Prepare your paper and post it on the date it is due according to the schedule. Timely posting is important so that your peers have time to read your paper and offer a response. Each week students are expected to read each paper and offer at least one response to each paper presented by 5:00 pm on the Friday of that week. Responses should be thoughtful, engaged and specific in terms of their interaction with each paper. The responses will constitute a significant portion of the participation grade. Paper presenters should respond to comments throughout the week as is appropriate.

Additional notes:

A. Textbook Purchase

All required textbooks for this class are available from the College’s book service, READ On Bookstore you may contact READ On Bookstore, at books@readon.ca. Other book services may also carry the texts.

B. Academic Honesty

Academic dishonesty is a serious offence that may take any number of forms, including plagiarism, the submission of work that is not one's own or for which previous credit has been obtained, and/or unauthorized collaboration with other students. Academic dishonesty can result in severe consequences, e.g., failure of the assignment, failure of the course, a notation on one's academic transcript, and/or suspension or expulsion from the College. Students are responsible for understanding what constitutes academic dishonesty. Please refer to the Divinity College Statement on Academic Honesty ~ <https://mcmasterdivinity.ca/rules-regulations/>

C. Gender Inclusive Language

McMaster Divinity College uses inclusive language for human beings in worship services, student written materials, and all its publications. It is expected that inclusive language will be used in chapel services and all MDC assignments. In reference to biblical texts, the integrity of the original expressions and the names of God should be respected, but you will need to use gender-inclusive language for humans, and you will need to quote from a gender-inclusive version such as the following: NRSV (1989), NCV (1991), TEV/GNB/GNT (1992), CEV (1995), NLT (1996), TNIV (2005), and the Common English Bible (CEB 2011).

D. Style

All stylistic considerations (including but not limited to questions of formatting, footnotes, and bibliographic references) must conform to the McMaster Divinity College Style Guidelines for Essays and Theses, <http://mcmasterdivinity.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/mdcstyleguide.pdf> Failure to observe appropriate form will result in grade reductions.

Disclaimer

This syllabus is the property of the instructor and is prepared with currently available information. The instructor reserves the right to make changes and revisions up to and including the first day of class.

Appendix One: Practice-Led Research Resources

*Students are not expected to read each entry completely but to engage with them so as to enhance their understanding of PLR and employ these resources in the course paper on PLR.

1. Gray, Carole. "From the Ground Up: Encountering Theory in the Process of Practice led Doctoral Research," *In Theory? Encounters with Theory in Practice-based PhD Research in Art and Design*, AHRC Postgraduate Conference, De Montfort University & Loughborough Universities, UK. 26 June 2007. online: <http://carolegray.net/Papers%20PDFs/Fromthegroundup.pdf>
2. Gray, Carole. "Inquiry Through Practice: Developing Appropriate Research Strategies", in P Korvenmaa (ed). *No Guru, No Method?, Conference Proceedings*, Research Institute, University of Art and Design, online: <http://carolegray.net/Papers%20PDFs/ngnm.pdf>
3. McNamara, Andrew. "Six Rules for Practice-led Research," *Journal of Writing and Writing Courses* 14 (Special Is): 1–15. online: <http://www.textjournal.com.au/speciss/issue14/McNamara.pdf>
4. Brown, B., P. Gough, and J. Roddis, "Types of Research in the Creative Arts and Design." online: http://arts.brighton.ac.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0003/43077/4_research.pdf
5. Candy, Linda. "Practice Based Research: A Guide," *CCS Report* (Sydney: Creativity & Cognition Studios, University of Technology, November, 2006). <http://www.creativityandcognition.com/resources/PBR%20Guide-1.1-2006.pdf>
6. Coyne, Richard, and Jenny Triggs, "Training for Practice-Based Research: Adaptation, Integration and Diversity." online: http://creativityconference07.org/presented_papers/Triggs_Training.doc
7. Ferguson, Neil. "Practice-led Theology or Thinking Theology through Practice" (PhD dissertation, University of Notre Dame Australia, 2014). online: <http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/theses/99>
8. Carol Costley & Nicola Pizzolato (2017): Transdisciplinary qualities in practice doctorates, *Studies in Continuing education*, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0158037X.2017.1394287>
9. Smith, Hazel, and Roger Dean, *Practice–Led Research, Research–Led Practice in the Creative Arts*, Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2009. (Book, not online)